Density and magnetic field gradients in Tycho SNR

O.PETRUK (oleh.petruk@gmail.com)*, T.KUZYO, M.PATRII, M.MICELI, S.ORLANDO, F.BOCCHINO, L.CHOMIUK, M.ARIAS *INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Palermo, Italy; Institute for Applied Problems in Mechanics and Math, Lviv, Ukraine

INAF MSCA4 UKRAINE **Funded by** the European Union

Introduction

- Remnant of SN1572, size 8 ′ , distance 2*.*3 kpc
- Expansion into inhomogeneous ISM [1, 2]
- Interaction with dense clouds on East and NW [3, 4]
- Presence of a large scale density gradient [5, 6]
- **What is the direction of the density gradient?**
- **What about the magnetic field distribution?**

- VLA radio map (q_r) , 2014, 1.4 GHz, resolution 1*.*91′′ [2]
- LOFAR radio index map (α) , 2013-2016, 48-1400 MHz, resolution 40′′ [7]
- Chandra X-ray map (q_x) , 2015, 1.2-4.0 keV, resolution 0*.*492′′
- Chandra X-ray map (q_{xs}) , 2015, 4.0-6.0 keV, resolution 0*.*492′′
- All images were reprojected to the same pixel grid to allow for the pixel-to-pixel analysis.

Utilizing the pixel-to-pixel analysis of the radio and X-ray images of Tycho SNR as well as theoretical properties of emission, we obtained the images for the post-shock density and magnetic field strength over the remnant.

By using these maps, we further derived the spatial distributions of both the cut-off frequency and the maximum energy of electrons and commented on gamma-ray emission from Tycho SNR.

Observations

- firmation of the explosion location.
- Density enchancements pre-shock at East and NW [1, 6]. Our results: a large scale $\operatorname{grad}(n)$ is toward NW, while the shock hit a local overdensity at East just recently.
- Gradient of magnetic field strength points toward the East.
- grad(*B*) is parallel to the Galactic plane.

Cut-off frequency $\nu_{\rm cr}$ and maximum energy $E_{\rm max}$ of electrons

- *B* on Fig. 2 is in arbitrary units. Convert to physical: set $\langle B \rangle = 120 \mu G$. This provides $B \approx 200 \mu G$ around the rim [8].
- Cut-off frequency ν_{cr} for electrons with energy E_{max} is calculated by fitting, for each pixel, the synchrotron spectrum from radio (*q*^r) to X-rays (*q*xs) and assuming the electron momentum distribution as a power-law (α) with an exponential cut-off.

Figure 1: Radio *(left)*, radio index *(center)* and X-ray *(right)* images of Tycho SNR. X-ray colors: 1.2-4.0 keV (red), 4.1-6.0 keV (blue).

Gradients of density *n* and magnetic field strength *B*

- Radio $q_r \propto \eta_r n B^{\alpha+1}$
- Thermal X-ray $q_x \propto \eta_x n^2 \Lambda$
- Band where $\Lambda(T,\tau) \approx \text{const}$

• Geometric factors *η*r, *η*^x account for the internal structure along LoS

- X-ray emitting electrons lose energy quickly \Rightarrow thin rims for ν_{cr} and E_{max} .
- E_{max} is in the range $5-7$ TeV around the rim. This is in agreement with [9].
- $E_{\text{max}} \simeq 11$ TeV is greatest at East where the shock encountered the local overdensity recently.
- Higher E_{max} also around the stripes at West and the arch at South-East.

Figure 2: Maps of *n (left)* and *B (right)*. Geometric center (white cross) and explosion site (black cross) are also shown.

Conclusions: gradients

- Density gradient is in the North-West direction.
- Yellow line passes through the two centers. Coincides with grad(*n*). Independent con-

Figure 3: Maps of *B (left)*, cut-off energy $\nu_{\rm cr}$ *(center)*, maximum energy of electrons $E_{\rm max}$ *(right)*

Conclusions: *E*max

Discussion: *γ*-rays

- GeV and TeV *γ*-rays are detected from Tycho SNR [10, 11].
- Two preferable locations for *γ*-rays, with enhanched ambient density: @East, @NW
- The shock speed $V \approx 2000$ and 3400 km/s at East and NW. Efficient acceleration and *γ*-rays @NW?
- Our analysis: East is preferable (*V* has decreased recently, *E*max is the highest).

References

1. Reynoso et al. 1997 ApJ, 491, 816 2. Williams et al. 2016 ApJL, 823, L32 3. Lee et al. 2004 ApJL, 605, L113 4. Chen et al. 2017 A&A, 604, A13 5. Vigh et al. 2011 ApJ, 727, 32 6. Williams et al. 2013 ApJ, 770, 129 7. Arias et al. 2019 AJ, 158, 253 8. Reynolds et al. 2021 ApJ, 917, 55 9. Parizot et al. 2006 A&A, 453, 387 10. Giordano et al. 2012 ApJL, 744, L2 11. Acciari et al. 2011 ApJL, 730, L20